

EXHIBIT 1

*(Summons and Complaint in Sacchi v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.,
Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Essex County, Docket No.
ESX-L-009834-21, dated December 27, 2021
(D.E. 1))*

STEPHEN J. SIMONI
StephenSimoniLAW@Gmail.com

SIMONI CONSUMERS

CLASS ACTION LAW OFFICES

c/o Jardim, Meisner & Susser, P.C.
30B Vreeland Road, Ste. 100
Florham Park, NJ 07932
Telephone: (917) 621-5795

*Counsel for Plaintiffs
and the Proposed Class*

JOHN SACCHI,

Individually and on behalf
of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs (collectively,
"Consumers"),

vs.

UNITED AIRLINES HOLDINGS, INC.,
UNITED AIRLINES, INC.,
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., and
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants (collectively,
"Company").

: SUPERIOR COURT OF
: NEW JERSEY
: LAW DIVISION
: ESSEX COUNTY
:
: Hon. _____
:
: No. ESX-L-_____-21
:
: CIVIL ACTION
: CLASS ACTION
:
: COMPLAINT
:
:
: **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**UNITED AIRLINES ENTERS THE CREDIT CARD
BUSINESS BY PARTNERING WITH JPMORGAN CHASE TO
FRAUDULENTLY SOLICIT PURCHASES OF CREDIT CARD MEMBERSHIPS**

Plaintiff John Sacchi ("**Consumers**" or
"**Plaintiffs**"), Individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated, hereby allege as follows:

1. Defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and JPMorgan
Chase & Co. (collectively, "**JP Morgan Chase**") are
corporations incorporated in Delaware and headquartered in

New York that market and sell, *inter alia*, banking services and credit cardmemberships throughout the world.

2. Defendants United Airlines, Inc. and United Airlines Holdings, Inc. (collectively, "**United**") are corporations incorporated in Delaware and headquartered in Illinois that market and sell, *inter alia*, credit cardmemberships and airline travel throughout the world.

3. United and Chase, along with the Doe defendants, are collectively referred to here throughout interchangeably as "**Defendant**," "**Defendants**," or "**Company**."

4. United Airlines entered the credit card business by, *inter alia*, partnering with JP Morgan Chase to fraudulently solicit purchases of credit cardmemberships.

5. United Airlines thereby now competes with credit card issuers in its ongoing effort to generate earnings from, *inter alia*, credit cardmembership annual fees, cash advance fees, accrual of interest on unpaid account balances, late fees, foreign-purchase transaction fees, and commissions from third parties who accept Company credit cards for payment.

6. United Airlines further generates earnings by also competing with restaurants and other venues with its "United Card Events from Chase," by which it offers purported "once-in-a-lifetime experiences" "exclusively for United" cardmembers who "pay[] with [the United] card" for distinctly **non-airline** events including celebrity meet and greets[,] private culinary and cultural events, and behind-the-scenes previews to the worlds of sports and fashion,"

such as the upcoming and already sold-out January 19, 2022 "Evening with Chef Ludo Lefebvre at Chez Maggy" that includes a "'French 101' cocktail and hors d'oeuvres reception, [m]ulti-course seated dinner with wine pairings, and a [c]ustom gift bag"—all at a restaurant situated on *the ground*.

7. Plaintiffs bring this action to challenge the Company's ongoing knowing and systematic practice in fraudulently inducing consumers to purchase Company credit cardmemberships and charge specified purchases on the Company-issued credit card.

8. All of the claims asserted herein arise out of Company's activity and are a common fact pattern as to each member of the Class defined below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over the Defendants in this action because, *inter alia*, their actions and omissions complained of herein occurred in and/or were targeted to cause damages in New Jersey as all consumers either reside in New Jersey and/or charged one or more purchases on the subject credit card during a scheduled flight itinerary that encompassed one or more flight segments that either departed from, ended in, and/or travelled through one or more New Jersey airports. This Court also has jurisdiction over United and JPMorgan Chase in this action because United and JPMorgan Chase market and sell the subject cardmemberships to New Jersey residents.

10. The total amount of all relief at issue here is less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) and the total amount of relief at issue for any individual Class Member, including each Named Plaintiff, is less than seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).

OTHER PARTIES

11. Plaintiff ("**Consumers**" or "**Plaintiffs**") is an adult male who had purchased a credit cardmembership from Company and then charged a purchase on the subject credit card during a Company flight from Newark, New Jersey to Jackson, Wyoming.

12. Except as described herein, Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names of Defendants sued as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and the nature of their wrongful conduct, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave of the Court to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.

13. At all times herein mentioned, United, JPMorgan Chase, and/or the Doe Defendants, and each of them, were an agent or joint venturer of each of the other, and in doing the acts alleged herein, were acting within the scope of such agency. Each Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the acts of each of the other Defendants, and ratified, approved, joined in, acquiesced and/or authorized the wrongful acts of each co-Defendant, and/or retained the benefits of said wrongful acts.

14. Defendants, and each of them, aided and abetted, encouraged and rendered substantial assistance to the other Defendants in committing the wrongful acts alleged herein. In taking action, as particularized herein, to aid and abet and substantially assist the commission of these wrongful acts and other wrongdoing complained of, each of the Defendants acted with an awareness of its primary wrongdoing and realized that its conduct would substantially assist the accomplishment of the wrongful conduct, wrongful goals, and wrongdoing.

15. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants conspired by means of mutual understanding, either expressly or impliedly, among themselves and others in engaging and/or planning to engage in the activities detailed herein to accomplish the wrongful conduct, wrongful goals, and wrongdoing.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

16. Plaintiff was solicited by Company in or about September 2021 to purchase a one-year Company credit cardmembership for two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) in reliance upon the Company's promise, *inter alia*, that the first one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125.00) of purchases during the year of cardmembership on Company products and services, including onboard purchases of food and WiFi access on Company flights would be "automatically" "credit[ed]", which Company detailed as follows (emphasis added):

"\$125 Annual United Credit: A statement credit will automatically be applied to your account when your card is used for United purchases, up to an annual maximum accumulation of \$125. Annual means the year beginning with your account open date through the first statement date after your account open date anniversary, and the 12 monthly billing cycles after that each year. Qualifying United purchases include airline tickets purchased from United and the following purchases made from United: seat upgrades; Economy Plus®; ***inflight food, beverages and Wi-Fi***; baggage service charges or other United fees. United Card Events from Chase purchases will also qualify. Other United related purchases, such as United Cruises®, United MileagePlus X, DIRECTV® and Merchandise Awards, are excluded. United tickets booked through some discount travel websites or as part of a third-party travel package will also not qualify. Statement credit(s) will post to your account the same day your United purchase posts to your account and will appear on your monthly credit card billing statement within 1-2 billing cycles. The Annual United Credit will be issued for the year in which the transaction posts to your account. For example, ***if you pay for Wi-Fi, but United does not post the transaction until after the current annual period ends, the cost of the Wi-Fi will be allocated towards the following year's Annual United Credit maximum of \$125.***"

17. Company has a history, and ongoing practice, of soliciting the purchase of Company credit cardmemberships and the charging of specified purchases on Company credit cards by promising that the subject purchases will be "automatically" "credit[ed]," reimbursed, and/or otherwise not due, payable, nor paid by the cardmember up to the first one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125.00) charged annually on such purchases.

18. Plaintiff relied on Company's promise by applying for cardmembership. Plaintiff was granted cardmembership, was charged the Company card's two hundred and fifty dollars annual fee (\$250.00) on October 1, 2021, and paid it in reliance upon the Company's promise.

19. Plaintiff further relied on Company's promise by purchasing WiFi access for \$19.99 on a November 2, 2021 United flight that departed from New Jersey.

20. Company failed to reimburse the \$19.99, which Plaintiff was then required to pay as part of his credit card monthly statement in order to avoid interest, late fees, penalties, and reporting of purported unpaid "debt" to credit rating agencies. Plaintiff thereby paid for the

WiFi access instead of the charge being "automatically"
"credit[ed]" as had been fraudulently promised by Company.

a. Company failed to credit the subject charge despite, upon information and belief, Company's awareness that such charges had not been credited due to, *inter alia*, complaints from one or more other Company cardmembers.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 4:32 of the New Jersey Rules of Court. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance and superiority requirements of the Rule. The Class is defined as follows:

All individuals who either

(A) within the past six years had a flight itinerary that encompassed a flight segment with one or more departures from, passages through, and/or arrivals to a New Jersey airport and made one or more charges on a Company-issued credit card during the flight for products and/or services, which charges were required to be automatically credited by Company but were not; and/or

(B) within the past six years resided in New Jersey and made one or more charges on a Company-issued credit card during a flight for products and/or

services, which charges were required to be automatically credited by Company but were not. Excluded from the Class are: (1) employees of the Defendants, including their officers or directors; (2) Defendants' affiliates, subsidiaries, or co-conspirators; and (3) the Court to which this case is assigned.

22. Plaintiff does not know the exact number of Class members because such information is in the exclusive control of the Defendants. However, Plaintiff believes that due to the nature of the trade and commerce involved and the identical language utilized in Company's solicitation for credit cardmemberships, Class members are sufficiently numerous, most likely many thousands of consumers, such that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. The information as to the identity of the Class members can be readily determined from records maintained by Company, because all card memberships and charges of purchases are recorded in Company's written and electronic records.

23. Plaintiff's claims are typical of, and not antagonistic to, the claims of the other Class members because Plaintiff was injured by Defendants' practices and by asserting his claims, Plaintiff will also advance the claims of all members of the Class who were damaged by the same wrongful conduct of Defendants and their co-conspirators as alleged herein, and the relief sought is common to the Class.

24. The common legal and factual questions which do not vary from Class member to Class member, and which may be determined without reference to individual circumstances of any Class member, include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Did Company fraudulently solicit the purchase of Company credit cardmemberships by falsely stating that specified purchases on the Company credit cards would be "automatically" "credit[ed]" while intending not to automatically credit such charges?

b. What is the appropriate measure of damages for Company's wrongful conduct?

c. Was Company's policy deliberate such that punitive damages may be awarded? and

d. Are Plaintiff and the Class Members entitled to the injunctive and equitable relief requested herein to enjoin Company's continuing wrongful action?

25. These common questions and others predominate over questions, if any, that affect only individual members of the Class.

26. The claims of the representative Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class. There are no material conflicts with any other member of the Class that would make class certification inappropriate. Plaintiff and counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class.

27. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome on the courts if individual litigation of numerous cases would proceed. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented in this Complaint, presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.

28. Prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendants, and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues.

29. Injunctive relief is appropriate as to the Class as a whole because Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class.

30. Whatever difficulties may exist in the management of the class action will be greatly outweighed by the benefits of the class action procedure, including, but not limited to, providing Class members with a method for the redress of claims that may otherwise not warrant individual litigation: Individual consumers typically lack the

resources, ability, and knowledge to legally pursue their respective remedy of a rightful refund.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(FRAUD)

31. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.

32. Company knowingly and deliberately fraudulently solicited a purchase of a Company credit cardmembership by falsely promising Plaintiff that he would "automatically" receive a "credit" of the first one hundred and twenty-five dollars of specified Company charges made to the Company-issued credit card while Company intended not to automatically credit the purchases as promised.

33. Plaintiff relied on Company's promise by applying for a Company credit cardmembership and paying the Company the two hundred and fifty dollar (\$250.00) annual fee for the credit cardmembership.

34. Plaintiff further relied on Company's promise by making a purchase on the Company credit card for WiFi access in the amount of nineteen dollars and ninety-nine cents (\$19.99) onboard a Company flight from New Jersey to Wyoming on November 2, 2021.

35. Company failed to credit Plaintiff's credit card account for the \$19.99 charge even though Plaintiff had total annual Company charges of less than the \$125.00 limit, which mandated that the \$19.99 charge be automatically credited.

36. Because Company failed to credit the \$19.99, Plaintiff was thereby required to pay it as part of his credit card monthly statement in order to avoid interest, late fees, penalties, and reporting of purported unpaid "debt" to credit rating agencies. Plaintiff thereby paid (i) the \$250.00 credit cardmembership annual fee and (ii) the \$19.99 WiFi service, neither of which charge he would have incurred nor paid for had he been aware that Company intended not to automatically credit the specified charges.

a. Company failed to credit the subject charge despite, upon information and belief, Company's awareness that such charges had not been credited due to, *inter alia*, complaints from one or more other Company cardmembers.

37. Plaintiff has been damaged thereby.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
**(Violation of New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act,
N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.2 et seq. ("NJCFA"))**

38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

39. Company knowingly and deliberately fraudulently solicited a purchase of a Company credit cardmembership by falsely promising Plaintiff that he would receive a "credit" of the first one hundred and twenty-five dollars of specified Company charges made to

the Company-issued credit card while Company intended not to "credit" the purchases as promised.

40. Plaintiff relied on Company's promise by applying for a Company credit cardmembership and paying the Company the two hundred and fifty dollar (\$250.00) annual fee for the credit cardmembership.

41. Plaintiff further relied on Company's promise by making a purchase on the Company credit card for WiFi access in the amount of nineteen dollars and ninety-nine cents (\$19.99) onboard a Company flight from New Jersey to Wyoming on November 2, 2021.

42. Company failed to credit Plaintiff's credit card account for the \$19.99 charge even though Plaintiff had total annual Company charges of less than the \$125.00 limit, which mandated that the \$19.99 charge be credited.

43. Because Company failed to credit the \$19.99, Plaintiff was thereby required to pay it as part of his credit card monthly statement in order to avoid interest, late fees, penalties, and reporting of purported unpaid "debt" to credit rating agencies. Plaintiff thereby paid for the WiFi access instead of the charge being "credit[ed]" as fraudulently promised by Company.

44. Company failed to credit the subject charge despite, upon information and belief, Company's awareness that such charges had not been credited due to, *inter alia*, complaints from one or more other Company cardmembers.

45. Plaintiff thereby paid (i) the \$250.00 credit cardmembership annual fee and (ii) the \$19.99 WiFi service,

neither of which charge he would have incurred nor paid for had he been aware that Company intended not to automatically credit the specified charges.

46. Plaintiff has been damaged thereby.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(BREACH OF CONTRACT)

47. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.

48. As a result of Company's breach of the agreement to reimburse the \$19.99 charge for WiFi, Plaintiff suffered damages by paying money for service to which he was legally entitled for no financial payment.

49. Plaintiff was also damaged by incurring and paying for the cardmembership annual fee.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL)

50. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.

51. As a result of Plaintiff's reliance on Company's promise—which promise Company failed to keep—Plaintiff purchased a one-year card membership breach for two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) and WiFi access for nineteen dollars and ninety-nine cents (\$19.99). Plaintiff suffered damages by paying money for (i) a Company credit cardmembership that he would not have purchased without the Company's promise to credit the first \$125.00 in annual Company purchases on the subject card and (ii) WiFi

services to which he was legally entitled for no financial payment and that he would not have purchased without the Company's promise to credit the first \$125.00 in annual Company purchases on the subject card.

52. Plaintiff has been damaged thereby.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(UNJUST ENRICHMENT)

53. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.

54. As a result of Company's wrongful activity, Company has received Plaintiff's (i) \$250.00 for a credit cardmembership and (ii) 19.99 for Wi-Fi access neither of which charge Plaintiff would have incurred, purchased, nor paid had Plaintiff been informed that Company would not satisfy its legal obligation to credit the first \$125.00 in annual Company purchases on the subject card.

55. Company has thereby acquired money by wrongful means that must be disgorged to avoid continued injustice.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1. Certification of the proposed Class and notice and claims administration to be paid by Defendants;
2. Statutory damages;
3. Compensatory, general, incidental, and consequential damages according to proof;

4. Special damages according to proof;
5. Punitive damages to punish Defendants for their willful illegal and deliberate contract breaches and to deter others who may otherwise engage in similar willful illegal and deliberate conduct;
6. Restitution and disgorgement according to proof;
7. Injunctive relief against Defendants, and each of them, to prevent future wrongful contract breaches;
8. Prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate;
9. Costs of the proceedings herein;
10. Reasonable attorneys' fees; and
11. All such other and further relief as the Court deems just but the entirety of any and all relief will be less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) and the total amount of relief for any individual Class Member, including each Named Plaintiff, will be less than seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).

Dated: Dec. 21, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: ___/s/___Stephen J. Simoni___
STEPHEN J. SIMONI
StephenSimoniLAW@gmail.com
SIMONI CONSUMERS
CLASS ACTION LAW OFFICES
c/o Jardim, Meisner &
Susser, P.C.
30B Vreeland Road, Ste. 100
Florham Park, NJ 07932
Telephone: (917) 621-5795

*Counsel for Plaintiff and
the Proposed Class*

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated hereby request a jury trial on all claims so triable.

Dated: Dec. 21, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Stephen J. Simoni

RULE 4:5-1 CERTIFICATION

In accordance with Rule 4:5-1, I hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not related to any ongoing litigation or other proceeding. I further certify that I am unaware of any other party who should be joined in this action at this time (other than the Doe defendants). In addition, I recognize my continuing obligation to file and serve on all parties and the court an amended certification if there is a change of facts stated in this original certification.

Dated: Dec. 21, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Stephen J. Simoni

STEPHEN J. SIMONI

StephenSimoniLAW@gmail.com

SIMONI CONSUMERS

CLASS ACTION LAW OFFICES

c/o Jardim, Meisner &

Susser, P.C.

30B Vreeland Road, Ste. 100

Florham Park, NJ 07932

Telephone: (917) 621-5795

*Counsel for Plaintiff and
the Proposed Class*

Civil Case Information Statement

Case Details: ESSEX | Civil Part Docket# L-009834-21

Case Caption: SACCHI JOHN VS JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

Case Initiation Date: 12/27/2021

Attorney Name: STEPHEN J SIMONI

Firm Name: STEPHEN J. SIMONI

Address: C/O JARDIM MEISNER 30B VREELAND RD STE 100

FLORHAM PARK NJ 07932

Phone: 9176215795

Name of Party: PLAINTIFF : Sacchi, John

Name of Defendant's Primary Insurance Company
(if known): None

Case Type: TORT-OTHER

Document Type: Complaint with Jury Demand

Jury Demand: YES - 12 JURORS

Is this a professional malpractice case? NO

Related cases pending: NO

If yes, list docket numbers:

Do you anticipate adding any parties (arising out of same transaction or occurrence)? YES

Are sexual abuse claims alleged by: John Sacchi? NO

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORM CANNOT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE

CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING IF CASE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION

Do parties have a current, past, or recurrent relationship? YES

If yes, is that relationship: Business

Does the statute governing this case provide for payment of fees by the losing party? YES

Use this space to alert the court to any special case characteristics that may warrant individual management or accelerated disposition:

Do you or your client need any disability accommodations? NO

If yes, please identify the requested accommodation:

Will an interpreter be needed? NO

If yes, for what language:

Please check off each applicable category: Putative Class Action? YES **Title 59?** NO **Consumer Fraud?** YES

I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with *Rule* 1:38-7(b)

12/27/2021
Dated

/s/ STEPHEN J SIMONI
Signed

