

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

RYAN M. FOX, DDS, <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>		No. COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION JURY DEMAND
--	--	--

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, RYAN M. FOX, DDS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated members of the defined national claims (the “Class Members”), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America (“Defendant” or “Travelers”) and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in

1 controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state
2 law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the
4 Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion the alleged wrongdoing
5 occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with
6 this District and the state of Washington.
7

8 3. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
9 § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at
10 issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's place of business is located in Seattle,
11 WA, King County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Seattle Division because a
12 substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in King County.
13

14 **III. PARTIES**

15 4. Plaintiff Ryan M. Fox, DDS, owns and operates a dentistry practice located at
16 12527 NE 144th St, Kirkland, WA 98034.

17 5. Defendant Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America, is an insurance
18 carrier incorporated and domiciled in the State of Connecticut, with its principal place of
19 business in Connecticut.
20

21 **IV. NATURE OF THE CASE**

22 6. Due to COVID-19 and a state-ordered mandated closure, Plaintiff cannot provide
23 dentistry services. Plaintiff intended to rely on his business insurance to keep his business alive.
24 This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the
25 insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
26

1 7. Defendant Travelers Casualty Insurance Company of America (Travelers) issued
2 one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, including Businessowners Property Coverage and
3 related endorsements, insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice and other coverages,
4 with effective dates of February 7, 2020 to February 7, 2021.

5 8. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and leased by Plaintiff and
6 used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of dentistry and other business
7 activities.

8 9. Travelers' Businessowners Property Coverage promises to pay Plaintiff for risks
9 of "DIRECT PHYSICAL LOSS" to covered property and includes coverage for risks of both
10 "loss of or damage to" covered property.

11 10. Travelers' Businessowners Property Coverage provides Plaintiff with Business
12 Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil
13 Authority Coverage.

14 11. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.

15 12. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of
16 persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.

17 13. In light of this pandemic, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued certain
18 proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether
19 infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions. Among other things,
20 Governor Inslee's "Stay Home, Stay Healthy" order required the closure of all non-essential
21 businesses, including Plaintiff's dental practice.

22 14. Plaintiff's property sustained direct physical loss or damage as a result of the
23 proclamations and orders.

1 15. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered
2 by the Travelers policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra
3 expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.

4 16. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its intended purposes.

5 17. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss
6 covered by the Travelers policy or policies.

7 18. Plaintiff will soon make a claim with Travelers for its loss, and upon information
8 and belief, its claim will be denied.

9
10 **V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

11 19. This matter is brought by Plaintiff Fox on behalf of himself and those similarly
12 situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).

13 20. The Classes that Plaintiff Fox seek to represent are defined at this time as:

14 A. ***Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and
15 entities in the United States insured under a Travelers policy with Business Income
16 Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to
17 COVID-19 and whose Business Income claim was denied by Travelers.

18 B. ***Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and
19 entities in the United States insured under a Travelers policy with Business Income
20 Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due to
21 COVID-19.

22 C. ***Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and entities in the
23 United States insured under a Travelers policy with Extra Expense coverage who sought
24 to minimize the suspension of business at the covered premises in connection with
25
26

1 COVID-19 and whose Extra Expense coverage claim was denied by Travelers despite
2 their efforts to minimize the suspension of their business.

3 D. ***Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and entities in the
4 United States insured under a Travelers policy with Extra Expense coverage who sought
5 to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises due to
6 COVID-19.

7
8 E. ***Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and
9 entities in the United States insured under a Travelers policy with Extended Business
10 Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due
11 to COVID-19.

12 F. ***Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and
13 entities in the United States insured under a Travelers policy with Extended Business
14 Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises due
15 to COVID-19.

16
17 G. ***Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and entities in the
18 United States insured under a Travelers policy with Civil Authority coverage who
19 suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense due to the impact of COVID-19
20 and whose Civil Authority claim was denied by Travelers.

21 H. ***Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and entities in the
22 United States insured under a Travelers policy with Civil Authority coverage who
23 suffered a loss of business income and/or extra expense due to the impact of COVID-19.

24
25 21. Excluded from the Class are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the
26 judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family

1 members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff Fox reserves the right to amend the Class definition
2 based on information obtained in discovery.

3 22. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under
4 the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5 23. **Numerosity:** The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all
6 members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class
7 contains thousands of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained
8 through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.

9 24. **Commonality and Predominance:** Common questions of law and fact
10 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common
11 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
12

13 A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common
14 policies issued to members of the Class;

15 B. Whether Travelers acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully
16 denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by
17 Governor Inslee and others civil authorities;

18 C. Whether Business Income coverage in Travelers' policies of insurance
19 applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by
20 Governor Inslee and others civil authorities;

21 D. Whether Travelers' Extra Expense coverage applies to efforts to minimize
22 a loss relating to COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by Governor Inslee and others
23 civil authorities;
24
25
26

1 E. Whether Travelers' Extended Business Income coverage applies to a
2 suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by Governor
3 Inslee and others civil authorities;

4 F. Whether Travelers' Civil Authority Coverage applies to a suspension of
5 business relating to the impact of COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by Governor
6 Inslee and others civil authorities;

7 G. Whether Travelers has breached its contracts of insurance through a
8 blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, business income loss or
9 closures related to COVID-19 and/or closure orders issued by Governor Inslee and others
10 civil authorities;

11 H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff Fox and the class
12 members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
13

14 I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff Fox and the class
15 members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such
16 relief.
17

18 25. **Typicality:** Plaintiff Fox's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the
19 classes. Plaintiff Fox and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful
20 practices of Defendant. Plaintiff Fox's claims arise from the same practices and course of
21 conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal
22 theories.
23

24 26. **Adequacy:** Plaintiff Fox will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests
25 of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting
26

1 class actions. Neither Plaintiff Fox nor his attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict
2 with the Class.

3 27. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying**
4 **Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests:** Plaintiff seeks
5 adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are
6 common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members
7 of the classes would risk of inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and
8 create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by
9 Plaintiff could also impair the ability of absent class members to protect their interests.
10

11 28. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief:**
12 Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members
13 of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide
14 basis.
15

16 29. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority:** A class action is
17 superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While
18 the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the
19 individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of
20 individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions
21 and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class
22 action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and
23 supervision by a single court.
24
25
26

1 VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

2 **Count One—Declaratory Judgment**

3 *(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Declaratory Relief Class,*
4 *Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class,*
5 *and Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class)*

6 30. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

7 31. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory
8 Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

9 32. Plaintiff Fox brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income
10 Coverage Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Civil
11 Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class.

12 33. Plaintiff Fox seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff Fox’s and class
13 members losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the
14 Policy.

15 34. Plaintiff Fox seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Travelers is responsible
16 for timely and fully paying all such losses.

17 **Count Two—Breach of Contract**

18 *(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Coverage Breach of Contract Class,*
19 *Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Civil Authority Breach of Contract*
20 *Class, and Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class)*

21 35. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

1 36. Plaintiff Fox brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income
2 Coverage Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Civil
3 Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class.

4 37. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff Fox and the class paid premiums to
5 Travelers in exchange for Travelers's promise to pay Plaintiff Fox and the class for all claims
6 covered by the Policy.

7 38. Plaintiff Fox has paid its insurance premiums.

8 39. Plaintiff Fox will soon file a written claim for her loss covered by the Policy.
9 Upon information and belief, Travelers has denied coverage for other similarly situated
10 policyholders and will again deny Plaintiff Fox's claim.

11 40. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.

12 41. Plaintiff Fox is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Travelers.

13 **VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

14 1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover the plaintiff's losses and
15 expenses resulting from the interruption of the plaintiff's business by COVID-19.

16 2. A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully
17 paying all such losses.

18 3. Damages.

19 4. Pre-judgment interest.

20 5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.

21 6. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

22 **VIII. JURY DEMAND**

23 Plaintiff Fox demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

1 DATED this 21st day of April, 2020.

2 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

3
4 By: s/ Ian S. Birk

By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko

By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio

By: s/ Irene M. Hecht

By: s/ Maureen Falecki

Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431

Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569

Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576

Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063

Maureen Falecki, WSBA #18569

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-1900

Fax: (206) 623-3384

Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com

Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com

Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com

Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com

Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com

11
12
13
14 By: s/ Alison Chase

Alison Chase, CA Bar #226976

801 Garden Street, Suite 301

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com

Telephone: (805) 456-1496

Fax: (805) 456-1497

15
16
17
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

19
20
21 4832-7287-2122, v. 1